

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/30/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL1904026

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: RHINA CUELLAR

and

RESPONDENT: ANIVAL GOMEZ

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Mother's 4/23/25 Request for Order ("RFO") re: child custody/visitation regarding the parties' children, Briana (DOB 6/19/09) and Angela (DOB 7/30/14). Respondent/Father did not file any response to the RFO.

The matter was referred to Family Court Services ("FCS"). Mother met with FCS on 5-21-25; Respondent/Father did not appear for the scheduled appointment or otherwise contact FCS. FCS also met with both children, separately, on 5-22-25, and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 5/23/25.

The parties currently share joint legal and physical custody of the children. Mother requests sole legal and physical custody and wants Father's visits with the children to be supervised. She states Father is very inconsistent with visitation, does not abide by the Court-ordered visitation schedule, and does not treat the girls well.

It appears that both girls are doing well and seem to enjoy being with their Father, who they see weekly on Saturday or Sunday.

After consideration of Mother's RFO and the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court does not see any basis on which to change the custodial arrangement and finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the FCS recommendations, as modified below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

Parental Responsibilities

1. The parents shall continue to share joint legal and joint physical custody.
-

Timeshare Schedule

2. Both children shall continue to live primarily with Mother and shall be with Father every week on Saturday or Sunday from 11:00 to 5:00.
3. Any additional time for either child to be with Father, or any changes to the schedule, shall occur as desired by each child and as agreed upon by the parents.

Collateral Issues

4. Neither parent shall make any disparaging comments about the other parent in the presence of the children or allow others to do so.
5. Both children shall be exposed to peaceful contact only between the parents.
6. Neither parent shall put the children in the middle of their conflicts.
7. Neither parent shall expose the children to any verbal abuse.
8. Neither parent shall share any information from this report with either child.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Order per Rule 5.125, CA Rules of Court

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

May 2025 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>

Meeting ID: 160 111 4119

Passcode: 636308

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 160 111 4119

Passcode: 636308

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/30/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2001247

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: ANN FORD DANIELSON

and

RESPONDENT: KYLE MILLER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Father’s 4/23/25 Request for Order (“RFO”) re: attorneys’ fees and costs. Father filed an Income and Expense Declaration (“I&E”) on 4/23/25 and another on 4/30/25. Father requests Mother pay \$50,000 for his fees and costs pursuant based on the disparity in the parties’ income and assets per Family Code § 2030. Counsel for Father states that up through March 2025, Father incurred approximately \$30,910 in fees , and anticipates another \$25,625.

Petitioner/Mother filed a Responsive Declaration on 5/16/25, together with an Income & Expense Declaration. She opposes Father’s request, asserting that Father has sufficient income and assets to afford his own attorney fees. Both parties accuse the other of underreporting his/her income and assets. However, neither party has complied with Rule 7.13 A-C of the Marin County Family Court Local Rules, which applies to any case in which attorneys’ fees are at issue. Most notably, neither party has lodged with the Court their two most recently filed federal and state income tax returns. Nor did Mother provide her last two months’ paystubs required with the I&E. Father failed to provide a 2024 profit and loss statement and a 2025 year-to-date profit and loss statement.

The parties are ordered to comply with the Court’s local rules and supplement their filings accordingly by June 11, 2025. The matter is continued for hearing to June 20, 2025 at 9:00 am in Department D.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Father to prepare the Order.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

*May 2025 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308*

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308*

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN

DATE: 05/30/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2201920

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: MARLA ROSS

and

RESPONDENT: ROBERT ROSS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE
2) REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT; ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS;
REIMBURSEMENT OF POST-SEPARATION AND MORTGAGE PAYMENTS MADE BY
PETITIONER; REQUEST FOR EVIDENCE 730 CASH FLOW EVALUATION; AND FOR
FORENSIC ACCOUNTING

RULING

Appearances required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party’s absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

*May 2025 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308*

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308*

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/30/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0001384

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: DULCINEA GUAJARDO
LAI

and

RESPONDENT: CHETANVEER SINGH
SIHRA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW HEARING – VISITATION AND RE-SET OF DVRO HEARING

RULING

This matter is set for review hearing on visitation and status on re-setting Petitioner/Mother's Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order for hearing. Mother filed her original Declaration in support of the DVRO on 2/13/25 and a Supplemental Declaration on 5/19/25. Respondent/Father filed a Notice of Updated Status on 5/22/25.

Appearances required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2025 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/30/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0001597

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: DANE E. ROSE

and

RESPONDENT: GENELYN ROSE

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT; OTHER:
DETERMINE THAT PRENUP IS INVALID

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Wife’s 4/8/25 Request for Order (“RFO”) that the Court determine the parties’ premarital agreement is invalid and that the Court conduct a separate trial of this issue on the hearing date.

The Court will set the matter for a bifurcated evidentiary hearing on the issue validity of the premarital agreement; however, the Court cannot conduct the evidentiary hearing on the May 30, 2025 RFO hearing date. The Court cannot make any orders regarding spousal support until the issue of the validity of the premarital agreement is decided.

Appearances required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2025 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119
Passcode: 636308***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.