

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2103918

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: BRIAN ADDINGTON

and

RESPONDENT: GEORGIA ADDINGTON

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – VISITATION

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Mother’s Request for Order (“RFO”) filed 1/24/2024, in which she requests an assessment of Petitioner/Father’s sobriety compliance, safety plans for the parties’ three children, John (dob 4-17-17, Elizabeth (9-9-15) and Grace (dob 12-29-12), and interim orders that Father continue alcohol monitoring with Soberlink. Mother contends that Father’s au pair revealed to her concerns about the children witnessing numerous incidents of Father’s drinking, having women at the house, etc., and she is concerned for the children’s safety. Father’ Responsive Declaration filed 2/26/24, states he is not drinking, that Mother offered to pay Father’s au pair \$10,000 for information regarding whether Father was drinking and then threatened to have her deported if she did not provide such information. Father also provided declarations from several individuals, including the au pair, attesting to Father’s continued sobriety and good parenting of the children. In her declaration, the au pair denied revealing to Mother any of the information Mother claims she reported. Father also provided Soberlink testing that he has voluntarily done (unknown to Mother) during the past few months, which confirm his sobriety. He contends Mother needs medication, anger management and a psychological evaluation, and contends that her accusations began only after he rebuffed her suggestions of reconciliation. Both parties met with Family Court Services (“FCS”) and reiterated their positions.

After reviewing the moving and opposition papers, including all declarations and exhibits, as well as the FCS Report & Recommendations dated 3/27/24, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the recommendations of FCS, as modified below:

1. All current orders shall remain in effect with the following additions/modifications:
2. The parents shall use only Family Wizard to communicate with each, and only regarding matters related to the children’s health, education and welfare, and scheduling issues. The cost of Our Family Wizard shall be shared equally by the parties.

3. Only on those days in which the children are in his custody, Father shall test with Soberlink daily at 7:00 am, 2:00 pm and 9:00 pm.
4. Mother's request for Father to be re-evaluated by Dr. Kan is denied.
5. Neither parent shall discuss with the children the allegations raised in connection with this hearing regarding the conduct of the other parent.
6. Mother is admonished that, if she has concerns about Father's parenting, the proper course is to discuss those concerns with her attorney and/or raise them in court, not to discuss those concerns with the children's au pairs, neighbors, Father's friends and/or family, or to spy on or place Father under surveillance.

Counsel for Father shall prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2300205

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: CORNELIUS THOMAS
GEARY

and

RESPONDENT: CATHERINE MAE-LI
ROMAN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW HEARING – RECEIPT OF FCS REPORT AND PSYCH EVALUATION

RULING

This matter was set for a review hearing on custody/visitation regarding the parties' son, James (dob 4/17/2022). The parties were referred to Family Court Services ("FCS"). Petitioner/Father met with FCS on 3/20/24; however, Respondent/Mother failed to appear for her appointment that day. She then asked to reschedule her appointment, and then failed to appear at the rescheduled appointment. In addition, Father met with Minor's Counsel, Scott Slomiak, but despite repeated attempts by Mr. Slomiak to schedule a meeting with Mother (including hand delivering correspondence to the Sausalito address and Tiburon address) Mother never contacted him. Mother's lack of participation in these proceedings, despite Court orders to do so, is troubling.

The Court received the FCS Report & Recommendations, as well as a number of supervised visitation reports prepared by Lucy Torliatt, all of which indicate Father and James are bonding well.

The Court has reviewed and considered all of the information provided, and finds that it is in the best interests of James to adopt the FCS recommendations, with modifications/additions as follows:

Parental Responsibilities

1. The parents shall share joint legal custody of James. The parents shall share in the responsibility and confer in good faith on matters concerning the child's health, education and welfare. Both parents shall have access to the child's school, medical, mental health,

and dental records and the right to consult with professionals who are providing services to the child.

2. Temporarily, Mother shall continue to have primary physical custody of James.

Timeshare Schedule

James shall continue to live primarily with Mother and shall be with Father on the following schedule:

3. **Beginning 4-2-24:** Visits between Father and James shall be three times each week for two hours each visit, unsupervised. The exchanges shall continue to be supervised by a professional. Visits shall be in Marin County in a location chosen by Father.
4. **Beginning 5-2-24:** Visits between Father and James shall be twice a week for 4 hours each visit, unsupervised. The exchange shall continue to be supervised by a professional. Visits shall be in Marin County or San Francisco, in a location chosen by Father.
5. **Beginning 7-1-24:** Visits between Father and James shall continue to be unsupervised and shall be two times a week: one weekday for 4 hours and either Saturday or Sunday (rotating) for 8 hours. The exchange at the start of visits shall occur outside the police station closest to Mother's residence and the exchange at the end of visits shall occur outside the police station closest to Father's residence.

Collateral Issues

6. Father shall not be required to take any COVID tests.
7. Father shall ensure that he has a proper car seat for James.
8. Both parents shall ensure that James has a healthy, sanitary living environment.
9. Father shall successfully complete a parenting class for caring for a baby.
10. Father shall ensure that his home is baby-proofed prior to having any visits with James in his home.
11. Father shall be given all of the contact information for James' doctors and be authorized to have contact with them regarding James.
12. Neither parent shall make any disparaging comments about the other parent in the presence of James or allow others to do so.
13. James shall be exposed to peaceful contact only between the parents.

14. Both parents shall participate in co-parent counseling with an agreed upon counselor. If they are not willing to do this, then they shall, at a minimum, both take a co-parenting class.

The Court notes that, after making the order for Mother to undergo a psychiatric evaluation at the last hearing, the Court has decided that, at least for the time being, the evaluation is not necessary.

SO ORDERED.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2300235

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: TERENCE DOYLE

and

RESPONDENT: AMY JURRIES

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

Respondent/Wife filed a Request for Order (“RFO”) on 1/22/2024 in which she seeks guideline spousal support with bonus support. Petitioner/Husband filed his Responsive Declaration, in which he highlights the lack of financial information provided by Wife.

In fact, neither party has provided the full financial information required for the Court to make an informed calculation of temporary spousal support. Both parties have provided their 2022 tax returns, but neither has provided documentation of 2023 year-end income. If Mother is a freelance writer, then this is not “Additional Income” (item 8 of her I&E); she is self-employed, and needs to complete section 7 of her Income and Expense Declaration and attach documentation of all earnings she received for such work. If there are expenses she incurs for her work, she needs to prepare a profit and loss statement for 2023. Wife should also provide back-up documentation regarding storage and office rental, as well as rent expenses.

The parties are referred to Rule 3.17 (B) and (C) of the Marin County Local Family Court Rules, which sets forth the information and supplemental documentation that must be provided in each case involving spousal support.

Husband must also have his attorney sign the section of the Income and Expense Declaration regarding attorneys’ fees.

All additional financial information shall be served on the other party and filed with the Court by 4/30/24. The hearing on this matter is continued to 5/14/24 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. D.

Wife is referred to the Court’s Legal Self-Help Center ((415) 444-7130) should she need assistance with procedures, forms, etc.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000026

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: CELESTE LAPRADE

and

RESPONDENT: JOHN R. WASHINGTON,
JR.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Wife’s 1/23/24 Request for Orders (“RFOs”) that (1) Respondent/Husband pay her Attorneys’ Fees of \$4,000, (2) that Respondent and his possessions be out of the Stinson Beach property forthwith; and (3) that Respondent pay all carrying costs for the Stinson property from 12/1/23, and that he and reimburse Petitioner for any such costs she paid from 12/1/2023. Husband has filed no responsive papers, although he was served with the RFO on 2/13/24.

Per the parties’ Marital Settlement Agreement, which is incorporated into the Judgment filed 10/16/23, Respondent was required to vacate the parties’ Stinson home within 30 days (November 16, 2023) and the property would be listed for sale within 45 days (12/1/2023). Father has failed and refused to comply with these orders. According to Petitioner, a significant amount of Respondent’s personal possessions remain at the property, which is precluding the property’s being readied for sale, as a result of which Wife is incurring additional costs.

Based on the clear provisions in the Judgment, the Court orders as follows:

1. Respondent shall remove himself and all of his possessions from the Stinson Beach property forthwith.
 2. Respondent shall pay all carrying costs for the Stinson Beach property from December 1, 2023 until the house is listed for sale.
 3. Respondent shall reimburse Petitioner for all carrying costs she has paid for the Stinson Beach property from December 1 until the house is listed for sale.
-

4. Respondent shall pay all expenses for moving his property from the residence from his separate property accounts.
5. Respondent shall advise Petitioner of all work he has arranged for on the Stinson Beach Property and provide an accounting of the costs incurred for such work.
6. Respondent is ordered to pay to Wife, as and for attorneys' fees, the sum of \$2,000.

SO ORDERED.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000241

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: STEPHANIE FAVALORO- ELLIOT	
--	--

and

RESPONDENT: SIMON JOHN ELLIOT	
------------------------------------	--

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD/SPOUSAL SUPPORT;
ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

Appearances required.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

FL0000241

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000429

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: SAMINEH ALAFAR

and

RESPONDENT: SAYED EHSAN REZAEI

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: PETITION – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING ORDER

RULING

Appearances required re: Stipulation and Order.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

April 2024 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000555

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: DAVID GEORGE GIRON

and

RESPONDENT: ANA MILADY
CALDERON RODAS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD SUPPORT

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Father’s Request for Order (“RFO”) filed 12/29/23 for child custody/visitation regarding the parties’ minor child, Biana, (dob 9/27/2015). Father asserts that the parties have been married for 7 years and separated for three years, but that Respondent/Mother refuses to allow him to see their child. He seeks joint custody of Biana. However, it does not appear from the file that Father’s RFO was ever served on Mother.

On 1/24/24, Mother filed an RFO for child support, which served on Father, but Father has filed no response and provided no income information.

Both parties are ordered to appear.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 04/02/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000637

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: BELLA FRANZI

and

RESPONDENT: PAOLO FRANZI

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD/SPOUSAL SUPPORT

RULING

On 2/1/24, Petitioner/Wife filed a Request for Orders (“RFOs”) seeking to move-away to Clovis with the parties’ minor child, Renato (dob 5-2-2020), child and temporary spousal support and attorneys’ fees and costs. On 2/16/2024, Husband filed an Ex Parte Request for Temporary Emergency Orders. The Court ordered temporary physical custody with a 2-2-3 schedule, as agreed to by the parties.

On 3/22/24, Mother filed for a Domestic Violence Restraining Order against Husband, which is set for hearing on April 12, 2024. As noted, she seeks to move away with Renato to Clovis.

In the interest of judicial economy, all matters will be heard on April 12, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Department D.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***April 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

FL0000637

Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.