

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 7/10/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL 2001389

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

PETITIONER: STEVE N. ZEIDAN

and

RESPONDENT: ANA R. ZEIDAN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER - VISITATION

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Father's 5/8/2026 Request for Order ("RFO") re: modification of visitation regarding the parties' son, Elijah (DOB 11/29/2019). Father requests changing the schedule so that he has Elijah every weekend. Respondent/Mother filed no Responsive Declaration. However, both parties were interviewed by Family Court Services ("FCS") on 6/22/2026, and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 6/26/2026.

Father lives in San Rafael, and Mother lives in Millbrae. Elijah will be entering first grade for the upcoming school year in Burlingame. Per the existing order made December 2021, the parties share joint legal and physical custody of Elijah, with Elijah being in Father's care every 1st, 2nd, 4th and (when there is one) 5th, weekends of the month from Friday at 6:30 pm to Sunday at 6:30, and on the 3rd weekend from Wednesday to Friday.

Mother opposes changing the current orders, stating she is flexible and is willing to give Father more time, but doesn't want it court-ordered. She welcomes having Father spend time with Elijah during the weeknights. Father states it is too difficult for him to get from San Rafael to Millbrae during the work week.

Having reviewed and considered the parties' positions, as well as the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds it is in the best interests of Elijah to adopt the FCS Recommendations, as modified below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

1. All current orders shall remain in effect with the following additions/modifications:
2. In addition to Father's weekend time with Elijah, he shall have the opportunity to visit with Elijah in Millbrae during the week when Father is available. Father shall

give Mother at least one week's notice of his intent to visit and shall have Elijah home to Mother by 7:00 pm.

- a. If Monday is a holiday from school and Father is not working, Elijah shall remain with Father overnight on Sunday, with return to Mother by 7:00 pm Monday.
- b. Both parents shall continue to have reasonable, regular phone contact with Elijah, which shall include each Tuesday and Thursday at 6:00 pm, or as otherwise mutually agreed by the parents.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Father shall prepare the order.

Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the hearing for oral argument by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 7/10/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL 2001887

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

PETITIONER: SARA KOSS

and

RESPONDENT: DARREN PARKER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES; ORDER –
SHOW CAUSE – CONTEMPT/FAILURE TO COMPLY

RULING

This matter is set for (1) arraignment of Respondent/Husband on the Court’s Order to Show Cause (“OSC”) why he should not be held in contempt for (a) his failure to appear on 5/8/2026 and (b) his failure to update his financial information as required by Marin County Rule, Family 7.13, as ordered by the Court on 5/24/2024 required whenever a request is made by either party for attorneys’ fees; and (2) Petitioner/Wife’s 4/1/20026 Request for Order (“RFO”) for attorneys’ fees and cost, per Family Code §271, of \$21,717.88 incurred by Wife in connection with the 4/23/24 OSC re: contempt hearing.

Arraignment on New OSC Re Contempt

Appearances required.

Fam. C. §271 Attorneys’ Fees & Costs

Wife’s §271 request for fees of \$21,717.88 represents \$20,000 in fees and \$817.88 in costs. Husband was found guilty on 5 out of 6 of the alleged contempt charges. Attached to Wife’s the RFO is the Declaration of Michael Fish, Esq., counsel of record for Mother, with his billing records. Husband filed no Response to Wife’s RFO. His last Income & Expense Declaration (incomplete) was filed on 9/22/2025.

The Court has reviewed the detailed attorneys’ billings provided by counsel and finds that the sum of \$10,000 is reasonable. However, given the prior financial information provided by Husband, albeit limited and incomplete, the Court finds that Husband’s earnings, including, the finding by DCSS that Husband’s income was approximately \$4,800/month. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

Husband shall pay Mother the sum of \$5,000, payable at the rate of \$150/month monthly commencing August 1, 2026, and continuing until paid in full.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, CA Rules of Court.

Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the hearing for oral argument by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 7/10/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0000644

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

PETITIONER: LISA SIRABELLA

and

RESPONDENT: BRYAN ETKIE

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Mother's 5/1/2026 Request for Order ("RFO") re: child custody/visitation regarding the parties' daughter, Eliza (DOB 7/24/2021). Respondent/Father did not file a Response to the RFO. On 7/6/2026 Mother submitted a Declaration which attached Father's Soberlink testing results.

Child Custody/Visitation

Both parties were re-referred to Family Court Services ("FCS"), and both were interviewed, separately, on 7/1/2026. The Court continues to be very concerned about Bryan's Soberlink testing results. The Court has warned him about missed and/or failed test, on more than one occasion, which appears to have no affect on him. Given all the failed/missed/late tests since he started Soberlink testing, and his repeated suggestions that he is somehow being persecuted by the requirement to test, Bryan appears to be in serious denial regarding his alcohol abuse. The Court agrees that this poses an immediate and unreasonable risk for Eliza.

The Court is very familiar with this case and with the parties and their history. Having reviewed Mother's RFO, the current Orders and the current and prior FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of Eliza to adopt the FCS recommendations, as modified below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

1. Temporarily, Eliza shall live primarily with Mother and shall be with Father during the following times:
 - Every Wednesday from 2:00 p.m. (or end of school) until 7:00 p.m.
 - The 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th (if any) Sunday of the month from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. (Eliza shall remain in Mother's care for the 1st Sunday of every month).

2. Father shall not consume *any* alcohol while Eliza is in his care.
3. Father shall continue to test with Soberlink every day he has Eliza at 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. If any of the 8:00 am tests are missed, more than 30 minutes late or positive, then that day's visit shall be cancelled, and Eliza will remain in Lisa's care.
4. All exchanges shall continue to be curbside outside of Mother's home. If this is not agreed upon, then they shall be outside of the police station in Fairfax.

The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, CA Rules of Court.

*Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the hearing for oral argument **by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing**, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.*

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 7/10/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0002687

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

PETITIONER: LINDLEY PEREZ ROSS

and

RESPONDENT: AARON HALE PHILLIPS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION
- REIMBURSEMENT

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Mother's 5/16/2026 Request for Order ("RFO") re: Child Custody/Visitation regarding the parties' two children: Baxter (DOB 6/19/2013) and Caroline (DOB 9/16/2015), and for reimbursement for last-minute flight changes by Respondent/Father. Father did not file a Responsive Declaration to the RFO. However, both parties were interviewed together by Family Court Services ("FCS"), and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 7/6/2026.

Mother and the children moved to California from Tennessee six years ago; they live in Novato. Father continues to reside in Memphis, Tennessee. The parties were divorced in Tennessee, and on 1/14/2020 a Permanent Parenting Plan Order was entered in Tennessee. The Parenting Order and an Out-of-State Support Order were registered in California with this Court.

Mother requests sole legal and physical custody of the children, and that their visits with Father be supervised due to what she describes as a very serious problem with alcohol, which makes it unsafe for the children to be with him without supervision. Although Father acknowledges his struggles with alcohol as a long-standing problem with intermittent periods of sobriety, he has been unable to sustain his sobriety, which puts the children's safety at risk.

Having reviewed and considered Mother's written submission, as well as the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of Baxter and Caroline to adopt the FCS Recommendations, as modified below:

1. All current orders shall remain in effect with the following additions/modifications.

Parental Responsibilities

2. The parents shall continue to share joint legal custody of both children. The parents shall share in the responsibility and confer in good faith on matters concerning the children's health, and welfare; however, per the Permanent Parenting Plan Order, Mother shall have sole decision-making regarding the children's education. Both parents shall have access to the children's school, medical, mental health, and dental records and the right to consult with professionals who are providing services to the child.
3. Mother shall have sole physical custody of both children.

Timeshare Schedule

4. Both children shall continue to live with Mother in California. Temporarily, all visits with Father shall occur in Marin County. The visits shall be up to one week long and shall occur on dates agreed upon by the parents, with Father giving a minimum of 14 days' notice of his intent to visit. All visits shall be day visits only (no overnights) and shall be supervised by a responsible adult agreed upon by Mother.

Collateral Issues

5. Father shall test with Soberlink three times every day. Testing shall occur both when the children are in his care and when they are not in his care. All results shall be given to Mother in real time. Mother shall have the authority to end or prohibit any visits if she has any concern about Father's alcohol use.
6. Father shall remain completely sober. He shall participate in whatever therapies or drugs needed to maintain his sobriety.
7. Both parents shall have reasonable, unrestricted phone contact with both children, as desired by each child.
8. A review shall be set in December of 2026.

Air Fare Reimbursement

9. Father shall pay to Mother the sum of \$930.897 to reimburse her for the airline flight she had to reschedule within 30 days of the date of this order.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Mother shall prepare the order.

Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the

hearing for oral argument by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.