



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/12/26      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: E      CASE NO: FL0001823

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

---

PETITIONER:    ANDREW YANDELL

and

RESPONDENT:    MAIA GRACE YANDELL

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW OF REPORTS HEARING

**RULING**

This matter is set for review hearing regarding the parties' timeshare schedule with respect to their twin boys, George and Gus (DOB 8/23/2022). On 6/5/2026 Respondent/Mother filed an Update Declaration in which she proposes a step-up schedule.

The parties were both interviewed by Family Court Services ("FCS") on 5/20/2026, and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 6/3/2026.

Pursuant to the Court's 4/9/2026 Findings and Order After Hearing, the parties share joint legal and physical custody of George and Gus. As of 5/5/2026, Mother's time with the boys (unsupervised) increased to Tuesday and Thursday from 1:00 pm to 9:00 am the following day and every Sunday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

Father reports that he is no longer concerned about the children's safety and that things are going very well. He states that the parties are moving toward a 50%/50% schedule, and both he and Mother agree that they want the progression in Mother's time to go smoothly for the boys.

The parties are working with a co-parenting counselor, Ariella Goodwin Fisher, MFT, whom they wish to act as a recommending mediator in lieu of the Court's Family Court Services ("FCS") Custody Recommending Counselor. However, Marin County is a Recommending County (see Family Code §3183; Marin County Rule, Family, 7.17.A). Before the Court can accept her recommendations in lieu of a FCS custody recommending counselor, per Family Code §3162(b), Ms. Goodwin Fisher must verify she has the same qualifications as the Court's custody recommending counselors as set forth in Family Code §1815. Without a Declaration from Ms. Goodwin Fisher's attesting to these qualifications, the Court cannot sign the Stipulation & Order as submitted by the parties.

Having reviewed and considered the written submissions by the parties, as well as the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the FCS Recommendations as modified and set forth below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

1. All current orders shall remain in effect with the following additions/modifications:
2. *Beginning 6-12-26* the boys shall be with Mother on the following schedule (and with Andrew the remainder of the time):
  - a. Every Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. to Wednesday at 9:00 a.m.
  - b. Every Thursday at 9:00 a.m. to Friday at 9:00 a.m.
  - c. Every Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.
3. *Beginning 8-1-26* the boys shall be with Mother on the following schedule (and with Andrew the remainder of the time) Week #1 and Week #2 shall be rotating:
  - a. Week #1: Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. (or after school) until Thursday at 9:00 a.m. (or return to school).
  - b. Week #2: Friday at 9:00 a.m. (or after school) until Sunday at 5:30 p.m.
4. Any changes to the schedule, or any additional time for either child to be with either parent, shall occur as mutually agreed upon by the parents.

**SO ORDERED.**

Counsel for Father to prepare the order.

*Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the hearing for oral argument by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.*

*Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/12/26                      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: E      CASE NO: FL0001827

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: S. BOND

---

PETITIONER:    MANUEL GARCIA  
                         GODINEZ

and

RESPONDENT:    CAMILLE RENEE  
                         HOWARD

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – VISITATION

**RULING**

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Mother’s 3/23/2026 Request for Order (“RFO”) to modify child custody/visitation with respect to the parties’ son, Ashton (DOB 10/3/2023). Mother requests unsupervised day visits twice a week for up to four hours per visit, and she would like to take Ashton to her home in Walnut Creek, so he can visit with her parents, with whom Mother lives. Mother has completed a 16-week batterers’ intervention course and three months of therapy. On 4/29/2026 Petitioner/Father filed a Responsive Declaration in which he proposes unsupervised daytime visits with Mother twice a week for up to three hours per visit in a public location in Marin County.

The parties were referred to Family Court Services (“FCS”). Both parties were interviewed by FCS, and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 5/15/2026. On 6/1/2026 Mother filed a Declaration and on 6/6/2026, Mother filed a Statement of Agreement/ Disagreement with the FCS Recommendations, which included the 4/4/2026 final supervised visitation report by Winfrid Rogers and the 5/22/2026 final supervised visitation report by Linda Cuculich, and addressing the allegations made by Father to FCS. Mother modified her request for visitation based on the fact that she works full-time for Citibank on Wednesdays and Saturdays for visits either Every Wednesday from 5:00 to 7:00 pm or alternatively Saturdays from 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm and every Sunday for six hours.

After considering each of the parties’ written submissions, as well as the FCS Report & Recommendations, and Mother’s Statement of Disagreement with the FCS Recommendations, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of Ashton to adopt the FCS Recommendations, as modified below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

1. All prior orders not in conflict with the below shall remain in effect with the following modifications.

2. Mother's visits with Ashton shall transition to unsupervised day visits twice a week for up to four hours per visit. Unless parents agree otherwise, the visits shall be every Sunday from 10:00 am to 2:00 pm and every Wednesday from 3:00 to 7:00. Visits shall continue to remain in Marin County for four months. If there have been no issues with these visits and Mother has been consistent with the visits, the visits may occur at Mother's home.
3. After six months of unsupervised four-hour visits twice a week, Mother's visits shall expand to six-hour day visits twice a week.
4. Ashton shall not be left in the unsupervised care of his maternal grandparents. Mother shall always be present when Ashton is visiting in her home.
5. There shall be no corporal punishment of Ashton including "thumping", hitting, etc. by anyone providing care for him.
6. Ashton's nap and feeding schedule shall be maintained during Mother's visits.
7. Mother shall have an age-appropriate car seat for Ashton.
8. Until he reaches the age at which he is water-safe, Ashton shall be properly supervised at all times when around bodies of water including the swimming pool at the home of the maternal grandparents.
9. Mother and her family members shall not attempt to follow Father on social media or access his social media accounts. Father shall report violations of this provision to law enforcement.
10. Father shall not post on social media any content denigrating Mother.

**SO ORDERED.**

The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, CA Rules of Court.

*Any party who disagrees with the Court's tentative ruling and wishes to have oral argument must notify the Court at (415) 444-7046 and opposing counsel (or if the opposing party is self-represented, notice must be given directly to the opposing party) of their intent to appear at the hearing for oral argument **by 4:00 pm on the court day before the hearing**, as required by Marin County Superior Court Family Law Local Rules 7.12(B) and (C). Notice may be given by telephone or in person. Absent proper notice, no oral argument will be permitted. If no request for oral argument is made, the tentative ruling will become the order of the Court.*

*Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, persons who requested oral argument must appear for the hearing in person or remotely via Zoom, in accordance with the Court website guidelines. If appearing remotely via Zoom (video or telephone), you are responsible for ensuring you have adequate connectivity; the Court may proceed in a party's absence if technical issues arise. Proper Zoom etiquette and courtroom decorum are required, and failure to comply may result in the hearing being halted and an order to appear in person being made.*