

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL 1001903

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: LORIE MELISSA
LAPIENES

and

RESPONDENT: JAMES VLAHOS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – OTHER: MINISTERIAL
ENFORCEMENT OF DISSOLUTION JUDGMENT-PROPERTY

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL1602968

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: ROYA MALEKIAN

and

RESPONDENT: MOOSA AL-MOOSA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD SUPPORT

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Petitioner/Mother's Request for Order (RFO), filed May 29, 2025, seeking orders regarding modification of child support and child support add-ons and custody and visitation orders. The custody and visitation issues were resolved by Stipulation filed October 29, 2025. Mother's request to modify child support and allocation of child support add-ons is addressed in this tentative ruling.

At the hearing on December 3, 2015, Respondent/Father objected to the court's tentative ruling and requested a statement of decision, arguing that there was no changed circumstances warranting modification of support. The court set a briefing schedule, with Father's supplemental Responsive pleadings due by December 8, 2025, and Mother's supplemental Reply pleadings due by December. The matter was continued to December 17, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. in Department B.

CHILD SUPPORT

Mother seeks modification of the child support orders contained in the parties' July 22, 2019 Judgment on Reserved Issues (the "2019 Judgment"), which sets base child support at \$16,160 per month (\$6,060 for Leila and \$10,100 for Ali), with an annual true-up based on all income of either party, including "employment bonus income, investment income, rental income, etc.," to be done annually on or before March 15 every year, with payments for the true up due by April 15 each year. The base child support was calculated using zero income for Mother and base income of \$79,126 for Father. The parties stipulated to share equally in all private school costs for each child through 12th grade, in all reasonable childcare costs related to employment, and in

all uninsured health-care costs. There was no specific agreement regarding extra-curricular activities although both parties agree that they have been sharing those costs equally as well.

In her Declaration filed May 29, 2025, Mother states that she began working after the 2019 Judgment was entered. In Mother's May 29, 2025 Income and Expense Declaration, she asserts she has a job which started in November 2024, with a salary of \$10,000 per month working 30 hours per week. Mother's November 19, 2025 Income and Expense Declaration states that her job ended effective October 23, 2025. Mother did not provide a termination letter nor information regarding severance pay. Mother states she is seeking employment. From May 2025 to November 2025, Mother's cash assets decreased from \$7,800 to \$5,639, her liquid assets remained at \$5,875, and the value of her real and personal property assets remained valued at \$2,300,000. Mother's expenses have increased from \$33,053 in May 2025 to \$42,823 per month in November 2025.

Father has had the same job since 2019.

The parties' July 22, 2019 Judgment on Reserved Issues provides for an annual true-up, in which any income earned by either party over the base amounts used in the July 22, 2019 Judgment DissoMaster™ is assessed for a net child support true-up each March for the prior year. Mother requests an exchange of tax returns by April 15 of each year, with the annual true-up to occur by June 15 each year.

Per the parties' July 2019 Judgment, the parties' monthly incomes were as follows:

1. For Father:
 - a. \$79,126 nontaxable income, comprised of:
 - i. Dow compensation: \$68,791
 - ii. US Dividends: \$2,542 (calculated as \$3,631 pre-tax minus \$1,089 tax)
 - iii. Omani Dividends: \$7,793
 - b. Minus \$220 taxable rental income
 - c. \$218 health insurance
 - d. \$507 mandatory retirement
 - e. Single/one exemption, 10% timeshare
2. For Mother:
 - a. Zero income.
 - b. \$827 health insurance
 - c. Head of Household/three exemptions, 90% timeshare

Father's July 31, 2025 Income and Expense Declaration confirms that Father still works at Dow, but his monthly base compensation decreased to an average of \$42,038, plus monthly allowances

for housing, schooling and mobility averaging \$10,251. Father asserts that he has cash of \$1,035,000, liquid assets of \$3,000,000, and real and personal property valued at \$1,850,000. Father's expenses are \$49,545 per month, which includes \$6,250 for visitation.

Mother's moving papers did not provide her tax returns, as required by MCR Fam 7.13. Mother filed Support Calculations with her Reply pleadings, which calculate child support based on Father's 2024 total income, including bonus.

Father also did not provide copies of his tax returns, as required by MCR Fam 7.13. Father provided support calculations attached to the declaration of Avi Reichman, CPAABV/CFF.

As neither party provided their tax returns or other financial information required by the local rules, the court will rely solely on each party's Income and Expense Declaration.

CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES

Here, the parties dispute whether there has been a change of circumstances warranting modification of child support. However, it is not necessary to resolve any dispute regarding the lifestyles and needs of the parties in order to determine that there has been a change in circumstances in this case because of changes to the statewide uniform guideline. A change in the statewide uniform guideline constitutes a change in circumstances. Family Code sections 4067, 4069. The most recent changes to the mathematical formula for calculating guideline child support in California went into effect on September 1, 2024. Family Code section 4055.

Additionally, as a result of Ali's diagnoses of ADHD, Dyslexia and Dysgraphia, which occurred years after the Judgment, the parties agreed to enroll him in Northbridge Academy, a school for children with dyslexia. The court finds this constitutes a change of circumstances. Once a change in circumstances exists, the court has jurisdiction to modify child support, including allocation of add-ons.

Based on review and consideration of the pleadings filed by the parties, the court finds that the following monthly inputs shall be used to determine base child support:

1. For Father:
 - a. \$52,289 nontaxable income, comprised of:
 - i. Dow compensation base wages: \$42,038
 - ii. Allowances (housing, travel, etc.): \$10,251
 - b. \$15,611 other nontaxable income:
 - i. US Dividends: \$2,536 (calculated as \$3,623 pre-tax minus \$1,087 tax)
 - ii. Omani Dividends: \$8,367

- iii. \$4,708 rental income (in U.S. and Omani)
 - c. \$588 mandatory retirement.
 - d. \$1,095 property tax deduction.
 - e. Single/one exemption, 10% timeshare.
2. For Mother:
- a. \$10,000 taxable income until October 23, 2025, then zero.
 - b. \$1,000 401(k) contribution until October 23, 2025, then zero.
 - c. \$275 health insurance, pre-tax (medical and vision) until October 23, 2025, then \$2,884 post-tax.
 - d. \$3,454 mortgage interest (entered as \$3,333 due to \$40,000 annual cap).
 - e. \$2,993 property tax.
 - f. Head of Household/three exemptions, 90% timeshare.

Based on the above inputs, base monthly child support is modified as follows:

- 1. Effective May 29, 2025, \$14,257, payable by Father to Mother, payable one-half on the first and one-half on the fifteenth of each month. See attached Exhibit A, XSpouse calculation.
- 2. Effective October 24, 2025, \$14,851, payable by Father to Mother, payable one-half on the first and one-half on the fifteenth of each month. See attached Exhibit B, XSpouse calculation with bonus child support printout attached.

Based on the above inputs, bonus child support “true-ups” shall continue to be bi-directional, as before. However, it shall be calculated as follows:

- a. The parties shall exchange tax returns and all other documents evidencing income received by a party April 15 every year for the prior year.
- b. The parties will use the exchanged income information to determine the amount of bonus child support, if any, due for the prior year, based on any additional income earned by either party over the base amounts used in Exhibit B.
- c. Bonus child support will be calculated using the bonus child support printouts attached to Exhibit B and netting out the bonus due and owing, if any, by each parent to the other.
- d. The net bonus child support due, if any, shall be paid by June 1 every year.

CHILD SUPPORT ADD-ONS

In September 2024, when changes were made to the statewide formula for calculating child support, the legislature also modified provisions of the Family Code regarding allocation of additional child support for job-related childcare, uninsured healthcare costs, and education and other special needs of children (“child support add-ons”). Prior to September 2024, the default allocation for child support add-ons was 50/50, and the court had discretion to order *pro rata* allocation. In September 2024, the default shifted to *pro rata* allocation, and the court has discretion to divide the costs in a different manner. Family Code sections 4061 and 4062.

At the time of the parties’ July 22, 2019 Judgment, Mother had zero income, and she stipulated to the default allocation of add-ons. Mother has requested modification from the stipulated 50/50 sharing to a *pro rata* allocation. Although Mother’s income is currently zero, the court finds that Mother previously agreed to share equally in these expenses when she could have requested a different allocation. Additionally, Mother is looking for work and should be contributing to the support of her children. The court finds that ordering Mother to share in a portion of these expenses is in the children’s best interests.

Effective May 29, 2025, Father will pay 80% and Mother will pay 20% of all child support add-ons, including: tuition for mutually-agreed upon private schools, uninsured healthcare costs, reasonable job-related childcare costs actually incurred. This will also apply to agreed-upon extra-curricular activities. As to private school and extra-curricular activities, agreement may not be unreasonably withheld. As to extra-curricular activities, the court finds that it is in the children’s best interests to participate in extra-curricular activities and makes this order pursuant to Family Code section 4062(b)(1). Uninsured healthcare costs include therapy for the children, but do not include therapy appointments attended solely by a parent without the children present. This order supersedes the provision in the Judgment that Father pay \$1,000 to Mother for childcare for Ali. Mother shall continue to contribute up to \$5,000 per year for Father’s visitation travel expenses, not more than \$1,000 per visit, as set forth in the July 2019 Judgment.

As to Mother’s request for a protocol regarding reimbursement for add-on expenses, the parties are ordered to follow the instructions described in Form FL-192, Notice of Rights and Responsibilities – Health Care Costs and Reimbursement Procedures. The court orders that Form FL-192 be attached to these orders.

Husband’s condition request for a Statement of Decision is deferred until the date of hearing; if Husband still requests a Statement of Decision he must appear at the hearing.

Counsel for Mother is ordered to prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via

remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

2025

Xspouse 2025-2-CA

Monthly Figures

Fixed Shares	Moosa	Roya	Monthly Figures		Cash Flow		
Number of children	0	2	2025			Guideline	Proposed
Percent time with NCP	10.00%	0.00%			Combined net spendable	76297	76297
Filing status	SINGLE HH/MLA		GUIDELINE		Percent change	0%	0%
Number of exemptions	1	3	Nets (adjusted)		Moosa		
Wages and salary	0	10000	Moosa	67312	Payment cost/benefit	-14257	-14257
Self employed income	0	0	Roya	8985	Net spendable income	53055	53055
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	76297	Change from guideline	0	0
TANF CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	70%	70%
Other nontaxable income	67900	0	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	14257	Total taxes	0	0
Employee 401-k contribution	0	1000	Marin SS	-0	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	14257	# withholding allowances	0	0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	Settings changed		Net wage paycheck	0	0
CS paid prev marriage	0	0	Proposed		Roya		
Health insurance	0	275	Tactic 9		Payment cost/benefit	14257	14257
Other medical expenses	0	0	CS	14257	Net spendable income	23242	23242
Property tax expenses	1095	2993	SS	-0	Change from guideline	0	0
Ded interest expense	0	3333	Total	14257	% of combined spendable	30%	30%
Contribution deduction	0	0	Saving	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	Releases	0	Total taxes	740	740
Qualified business income deduction	0	0			Dep. exemption value	0	0
Required union dues	0	0			# withholding allowances	0	0
Mandatory retirement	588	0			Net wage paycheck	6145	6145
Hardship deduction	0	0					
Other GDL deductions	0	0					
Child care expenses	0	0					

Moosa pays Guideline CS, Proposed CS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information

	DOB	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons Payor	Basic CS Payor	Pres CS Payor
All children		10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	14,257 Moosa	14,257 Moosa
Leila	2010-12-03	10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	5,341 Moosa	5,341 Moosa
Ali	2016-04-25	10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	8,916 Moosa	8,916 Moosa

Superior Court of California
County of Marin

EXHIBIT A

2025

Xspouse 2025-2-CA

Monthly Figures

Fixed Shares	Moosa	Roya	Monthly Figures		Cash Flow		
Number of children	0	2	2025			Guideline	Proposed
Percent time with NCP	10.00%	0.00%			Combined net spendable	64428	64428
Filing status	SINGLE HH/MLA		GUIDELINE		Percent change	0%	0%
Number of exemptions	1	3	Nets (adjusted)		Moosa		
Wages and salary	0	0	Moosa	67312	Payment cost/benefit	-14851	-14851
Self employed income	0	0	Roya	-2884	Net spendable income	52461	52461
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	64428	Change from guideline	0	0
TANF CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	81%	81%
Other nontaxable income	67900	0	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	14851	Total taxes	0	0
Employee 401-k contribution	0	0	User SS	0	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	14851	# withholding allowances	0	0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0			Net wage paycheck	0	0
CS paid prev marriage	0	0					
Health insurance	0	2884	Settlings changed		Roya		
Other medical expenses	0	0	Proposed		Payment cost/benefit	14851	14851
Property tax expenses	1095	2993	Tactic 9		Net spendable income	11967	11967
Ded interest expense	0	3333			Change from guideline	0	0
Contribution deduction	0	0	CS	14851	% of combined spendable	19%	19%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	SS	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Qualified business income deduction	0	0	Total	14851	Total taxes	0	0
Required union dues	0	0	Saving	0	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Mandatory retirement	588	0	Releases	0	# withholding allowances	0	0
Hardship deduction	0	0			Net wage paycheck	0	0
Other GDL deductions	0	0					
Child care expenses	0	0					

Moosa pays Guideline CS, Proposed CS

FC 4055 checking: **ON**

Per Child Information

	DOB	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons Payor	Basic CS Payor	Pres CS Payor
All children		10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	14,851 Moosa	14,851 Moosa
Leila	2010-12-03	10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	5,569 Moosa	5,569 Moosa
Ali	2016-04-25	10 - 90	0	0	0 Moosa	9,282 Moosa	9,282 Moosa

Superior Court of California
County of Marin

EXHIBIT B

Annual Bonus Income Roya

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Moosa: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Roya							
Child Support			Spousal Support			Total CS	Total SS
Roya's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			(adjusted)
0	0.00	0	0.00	0		0 R	0 R
5,000	9.49	474	0.00	0		177,736 R	0
10,000	9.47	947	0.00	0		177,264 R	0
15,000	8.32	1,248	0.00	0		176,963 R	0
20,000	7.72	1,543	0.00	0		176,667 R	0
25,000	7.17	1,794	0.00	0		176,417 R	0
30,000	6.56	1,968	0.00	0		176,243 R	0
35,000	6.13	2,147	0.00	0		176,064 R	0
40,000	5.82	2,329	0.00	0		175,882 R	0
45,000	5.58	2,510	0.00	0		175,701 R	0
50,000	5.38	2,690	0.00	0		175,520 R	0
55,000	5.22	2,869	0.00	0		175,341 R	0
60,000	5.13	3,077	0.00	0		175,134 R	0
65,000	5.09	3,307	0.00	0		174,903 R	0
70,000	5.05	3,536	0.00	0		174,674 R	0
75,000	5.02	3,764	0.00	0		174,446 R	0
80,000	4.99	3,990	0.00	0		174,220 R	0
85,000	4.96	4,215	0.00	0		173,995 R	0
90,000	4.93	4,439	0.00	0		173,772 R	0
95,000	4.91	4,661	0.00	0		173,550 R	0
100,000	4.88	4,882	0.00	0		173,329 R	0
105,000	4.86	5,101	0.00	0		173,109 R	0
110,000	4.84	5,319	0.00	0		172,891 R	0
115,000	4.81	5,528	0.00	0		172,682 R	0
120,000	4.77	5,719	0.00	0		172,492 R	0
125,000	4.72	5,904	0.00	0		172,307 R	0
130,000	4.68	6,087	0.00	0		172,123 R	0
135,000	4.64	6,270	0.00	0		171,940 R	0
140,000	4.61	6,453	0.00	0		171,758 R	0
145,000	4.57	6,634	0.00	0		171,577 R	0
150,000	4.54	6,814	0.00	0		171,396 R	0
155,000	4.51	6,994	0.00	0		171,217 R	0
160,000	4.48	7,168	0.00	0		171,042 R	0
165,000	4.45	7,338	0.00	0		170,873 R	0
170,000	4.40	7,481	0.00	0		170,729 R	0
175,000	4.36	7,622	0.00	0		170,588 R	0
180,000	4.32	7,774	0.00	0		170,436 R	0
185,000	4.28	7,925	0.00	0		170,286 R	0
190,000	4.25	8,071	0.00	0		170,139 R	0
195,000	4.21	8,215	0.00	0		169,995 R	0
200,000	4.18	8,354	0.00	0		169,857 R	0
205,000	4.14	8,479	0.00	0		169,731 R	0
210,000	4.10	8,601	0.00	0		169,609 R	0
215,000	4.06	8,723	0.00	0		169,487 R	0
220,000	4.02	8,845	0.00	0		169,366 R	0

Annual Bonus Income Roya

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Moosa: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Roya							
Roya's Bonus	Child Support		Spousal Support			Total CS	Total SS
	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			(adjusted)
225,000	3.98	8,966	0.00	0		169,245 R	0
230,000	3.95	9,087	0.00	0		169,124 R	0
235,000	3.92	9,209	0.00	0		169,001 R	0
240,000	3.89	9,329	0.00	0		168,881 R	0
245,000	3.86	9,449	0.00	0		168,761 R	0
250,000	3.83	9,568	0.00	0		168,642 R	0

Annual Bonus Income

Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa							
Child Support			Spousal Support			Total CS	Total SS
Moosa's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			(adjusted)
0	0.00	0	0.00	0		0	0
10,000	17.19	1,719	0.00	0		179,930	0
20,000	17.19	3,439	0.00	0		181,650	0
30,000	16.74	5,023	0.00	0		183,234	0
40,000	16.30	6,521	0.00	0		184,732	0
50,000	15.93	7,963	0.00	0		186,174	0
60,000	15.64	9,382	0.00	0		187,593	0
70,000	15.37	10,757	0.00	0		188,968	0
80,000	14.97	11,979	0.00	0		190,190	0
90,000	14.64	13,172	0.00	0		191,383	0
100,000	14.35	14,351	0.00	0		192,562	0
110,000	14.11	15,525	0.00	0		193,736	0
120,000	13.92	16,699	0.00	0		194,910	0
130,000	13.75	17,873	0.00	0		196,084	0
140,000	13.59	19,020	0.00	0		197,231	0
150,000	13.44	20,160	0.00	0		198,371	0
160,000	13.31	21,301	0.00	0		199,512	0
170,000	13.20	22,442	0.00	0		200,653	0
180,000	13.13	23,628	0.00	0		201,839	0
190,000	13.10	24,887	0.00	0		203,098	0
200,000	13.07	26,145	0.00	0		204,356	0
210,000	13.04	27,386	0.00	0		205,597	0
220,000	13.01	28,628	0.00	0		206,839	0
230,000	12.99	29,869	0.00	0		208,080	0
240,000	12.94	31,068	0.00	0		209,279	0
250,000	12.87	32,174	0.00	0		210,385	0
260,000	12.80	33,278	0.00	0		211,489	0
270,000	12.73	34,374	0.00	0		212,585	0
280,000	12.67	35,470	0.00	0		213,681	0
290,000	12.61	36,567	0.00	0		214,778	0
300,000	12.55	37,646	0.00	0		215,857	0
310,000	12.47	38,656	0.00	0		216,867	0
320,000	12.38	39,628	0.00	0		217,839	0
330,000	12.30	40,603	0.00	0		218,814	0
340,000	12.23	41,579	0.00	0		219,790	0
350,000	12.16	42,555	0.00	0		220,766	0
360,000	12.09	43,532	0.00	0		221,743	0
370,000	12.03	44,508	0.00	0		222,719	0
380,000	11.97	45,484	0.00	0		223,695	0
390,000	11.91	46,453	0.00	0		224,664	0
400,000	11.86	47,421	0.00	0		225,632	0
410,000	11.80	48,389	0.00	0		226,600	0
420,000	11.75	49,357	0.00	0		227,568	0
430,000	11.70	50,325	0.00	0		228,536	0
440,000	11.66	51,293	0.00	0		229,504	0

Annual Bonus Income Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa					
Moosa's Bonus	Child Support		Spousal Support		Total CS
	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$	Total SS (adjusted)
450,000	11.61	52,261	0.00	0	230,472
460,000	11.57	53,221	0.00	0	231,432
470,000	11.53	54,170	0.00	0	232,381
480,000	11.48	55,120	0.00	0	233,331
490,000	11.44	56,069	0.00	0	234,280
500,000	11.40	57,018	0.00	0	235,229
510,000	11.35	57,909	0.00	0	236,120
520,000	11.28	58,660	0.00	0	236,871
530,000	11.21	59,411	0.00	0	237,622
540,000	11.14	60,162	0.00	0	238,373
550,000	11.07	60,912	0.00	0	239,123
560,000	11.01	61,663	0.00	0	239,874
570,000	10.95	62,414	0.00	0	240,625
580,000	10.89	63,165	0.00	0	241,376
590,000	10.84	63,958	0.00	0	242,169
600,000	10.82	64,907	0.00	0	243,118
610,000	10.80	65,857	0.00	0	244,068
620,000	10.78	66,807	0.00	0	245,018
630,000	10.76	67,757	0.00	0	245,968
640,000	10.74	68,706	0.00	0	246,917
650,000	10.72	69,653	0.00	0	247,864
660,000	10.69	70,565	0.00	0	248,776
670,000	10.67	71,477	0.00	0	249,688
680,000	10.65	72,389	0.00	0	250,600
690,000	10.62	73,301	0.00	0	251,512
700,000	10.60	74,213	0.00	0	252,424
710,000	10.58	75,125	0.00	0	253,336
720,000	10.56	76,038	0.00	0	254,249
730,000	10.54	76,950	0.00	0	255,161
740,000	10.52	77,862	0.00	0	256,073
750,000	10.50	78,774	0.00	0	256,985
760,000	10.48	79,669	0.00	0	257,880
770,000	10.46	80,562	0.00	0	258,773
780,000	10.44	81,455	0.00	0	259,666
790,000	10.42	82,349	0.00	0	260,560
800,000	10.41	83,242	0.00	0	261,453
810,000	10.39	84,136	0.00	0	262,347
820,000	10.37	85,029	0.00	0	263,240
830,000	10.35	85,923	0.00	0	264,134
840,000	10.34	86,816	0.00	0	265,027
850,000	10.32	87,710	0.00	0	265,921
860,000	10.30	88,603	0.00	0	266,814
870,000	10.29	89,497	0.00	0	267,708
880,000	10.27	90,390	0.00	0	268,601
890,000	10.26	91,284	0.00	0	269,495

Annual Bonus Income Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Moosa's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
900,000	10.24	92,178	0.00	0	270,389	0
910,000	10.23	93,071	0.00	0	271,282	0
920,000	10.21	93,965	0.00	0	272,176	0
930,000	10.20	94,858	0.00	0	273,069	0
940,000	10.19	95,752	0.00	0	273,963	0
950,000	10.17	96,646	0.00	0	274,857	0
960,000	10.16	97,540	0.00	0	275,751	0
970,000	10.15	98,433	0.00	0	276,644	0
980,000	10.14	99,327	0.00	0	277,538	0
990,000	10.12	100,221	0.00	0	278,432	0
1,000,000	10.11	101,115	0.00	0	279,326	0
1,010,000	10.10	102,009	0.00	0	280,220	0
1,020,000	10.09	102,889	0.00	0	281,100	0
1,030,000	10.07	103,763	0.00	0	281,974	0
1,040,000	10.06	104,638	0.00	0	282,849	0
1,050,000	10.05	105,513	0.00	0	283,724	0
1,060,000	10.04	106,388	0.00	0	284,599	0
1,070,000	10.02	107,263	0.00	0	285,474	0
1,080,000	10.01	108,138	0.00	0	286,349	0
1,090,000	10.00	109,013	0.00	0	287,224	0
1,100,000	9.99	109,888	0.00	0	288,099	0
1,110,000	9.98	110,763	0.00	0	288,974	0
1,120,000	9.97	111,638	0.00	0	289,849	0
1,130,000	9.96	112,513	0.00	0	290,724	0
1,140,000	9.95	113,388	0.00	0	291,599	0
1,150,000	9.94	114,263	0.00	0	292,474	0
1,160,000	9.93	115,138	0.00	0	293,349	0
1,170,000	9.92	116,014	0.00	0	294,225	0
1,180,000	9.91	116,889	0.00	0	295,100	0
1,190,000	9.90	117,764	0.00	0	295,975	0
1,200,000	9.89	118,639	0.00	0	296,850	0
1,210,000	9.88	119,514	0.00	0	297,725	0
1,220,000	9.87	120,389	0.00	0	298,600	0
1,230,000	9.86	121,265	0.00	0	299,476	0
1,240,000	9.85	122,140	0.00	0	300,351	0
1,250,000	9.84	123,015	0.00	0	301,226	0
1,260,000	9.83	123,890	0.00	0	302,101	0
1,270,000	9.82	124,765	0.00	0	302,976	0
1,280,000	9.82	125,641	0.00	0	303,852	0
1,290,000	9.81	126,516	0.00	0	304,727	0
1,300,000	9.80	127,391	0.00	0	305,602	0
1,310,000	9.79	128,267	0.00	0	306,478	0
1,320,000	9.78	129,142	0.00	0	307,353	0
1,330,000	9.78	130,017	0.00	0	308,228	0
1,340,000	9.77	130,893	0.00	0	309,104	0

Annual Bonus Income
Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Moosa's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
1,350,000	9.76	131,768	0.00	0	309,979	0
1,360,000	9.75	132,643	0.00	0	310,854	0
1,370,000	9.75	133,519	0.00	0	311,730	0
1,380,000	9.74	134,394	0.00	0	312,605	0
1,390,000	9.73	135,269	0.00	0	313,480	0
1,400,000	9.72	136,145	0.00	0	314,356	0
1,410,000	9.72	137,020	0.00	0	315,231	0
1,420,000	9.71	137,896	0.00	0	316,107	0
1,430,000	9.70	138,771	0.00	0	316,982	0
1,440,000	9.70	139,646	0.00	0	317,857	0
1,450,000	9.69	140,522	0.00	0	318,733	0
1,460,000	9.68	141,397	0.00	0	319,608	0
1,470,000	9.68	142,273	0.00	0	320,484	0
1,480,000	9.67	143,148	0.00	0	321,359	0
1,490,000	9.67	144,024	0.00	0	322,235	0
1,500,000	9.66	144,899	0.00	0	323,110	0
1,510,000	9.65	145,775	0.00	0	323,986	0
1,520,000	9.65	146,650	0.00	0	324,861	0
1,530,000	9.64	147,526	0.00	0	325,737	0
1,540,000	9.64	148,401	0.00	0	326,612	0
1,550,000	9.63	149,277	0.00	0	327,488	0
1,560,000	9.63	150,153	0.00	0	328,364	0
1,570,000	9.62	151,028	0.00	0	329,239	0
1,580,000	9.61	151,904	0.00	0	330,115	0
1,590,000	9.61	152,779	0.00	0	330,990	0
1,600,000	9.60	153,655	0.00	0	331,866	0
1,610,000	9.60	154,531	0.00	0	332,742	0
1,620,000	9.59	155,406	0.00	0	333,617	0
1,630,000	9.59	156,282	0.00	0	334,493	0
1,640,000	9.58	157,157	0.00	0	335,368	0
1,650,000	9.58	158,033	0.00	0	336,244	0
1,660,000	9.57	158,909	0.00	0	337,120	0
1,670,000	9.57	159,784	0.00	0	337,995	0
1,680,000	9.56	160,660	0.00	0	338,871	0
1,690,000	9.56	161,536	0.00	0	339,747	0
1,700,000	9.55	162,411	0.00	0	340,622	0
1,710,000	9.55	163,287	0.00	0	341,498	0
1,720,000	9.54	164,163	0.00	0	342,374	0
1,730,000	9.54	165,038	0.00	0	343,249	0
1,740,000	9.54	165,914	0.00	0	344,125	0
1,750,000	9.53	166,790	0.00	0	345,001	0
1,760,000	9.53	167,666	0.00	0	345,877	0
1,770,000	9.52	168,541	0.00	0	346,752	0
1,780,000	9.52	169,417	0.00	0	347,628	0
1,790,000	9.51	170,293	0.00	0	348,504	0

Annual Bonus Income
Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Moosa's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
1,800,000	9.51	171,169	0.00	0	349,380	0
1,810,000	9.51	172,044	0.00	0	350,255	0
1,820,000	9.50	172,920	0.00	0	351,131	0
1,830,000	9.50	173,796	0.00	0	352,007	0
1,840,000	9.49	174,672	0.00	0	352,883	0
1,850,000	9.49	175,548	0.00	0	353,759	0
1,860,000	9.49	176,423	0.00	0	354,634	0
1,870,000	9.48	177,299	0.00	0	355,510	0
1,880,000	9.48	178,175	0.00	0	356,386	0
1,890,000	9.47	179,051	0.00	0	357,262	0
1,900,000	9.47	179,927	0.00	0	358,138	0
1,910,000	9.47	180,803	0.00	0	359,014	0
1,920,000	9.46	181,679	0.00	0	359,890	0
1,930,000	9.46	182,554	0.00	0	360,765	0
1,940,000	9.46	183,430	0.00	0	361,641	0
1,950,000	9.45	184,306	0.00	0	362,517	0
1,960,000	9.45	185,182	0.00	0	363,393	0
1,970,000	9.44	186,058	0.00	0	364,269	0
1,980,000	9.44	186,934	0.00	0	365,145	0
1,990,000	9.44	187,810	0.00	0	366,021	0
2,000,000	9.43	188,686	0.00	0	366,897	0
2,010,000	9.43	189,562	0.00	0	367,773	0
2,020,000	9.43	190,438	0.00	0	368,649	0
2,030,000	9.42	191,313	0.00	0	369,524	0
2,040,000	9.42	192,189	0.00	0	370,400	0
2,050,000	9.42	193,065	0.00	0	371,276	0
2,060,000	9.41	193,941	0.00	0	372,152	0
2,070,000	9.41	194,817	0.00	0	373,028	0
2,080,000	9.41	195,693	0.00	0	373,904	0
2,090,000	9.41	196,569	0.00	0	374,780	0
2,100,000	9.40	197,445	0.00	0	375,656	0
2,110,000	9.40	198,321	0.00	0	376,532	0
2,120,000	9.40	199,197	0.00	0	377,408	0
2,130,000	9.39	200,073	0.00	0	378,284	0
2,140,000	9.39	200,949	0.00	0	379,160	0
2,150,000	9.39	201,825	0.00	0	380,036	0
2,160,000	9.38	202,701	0.00	0	380,912	0
2,170,000	9.38	203,577	0.00	0	381,788	0
2,180,000	9.38	204,453	0.00	0	382,664	0
2,190,000	9.38	205,329	0.00	0	383,540	0
2,200,000	9.37	206,205	0.00	0	384,416	0
2,210,000	9.37	207,081	0.00	0	385,292	0
2,220,000	9.37	207,957	0.00	0	386,168	0
2,230,000	9.36	208,834	0.00	0	387,045	0
2,240,000	9.36	209,710	0.00	0	387,921	0

Annual Bonus Income Moosa

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Roya: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Moosa						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Moosa's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
2,250,000	9.36	210,586	0.00	0	388,797	0
2,260,000	9.36	211,462	0.00	0	389,673	0
2,270,000	9.35	212,338	0.00	0	390,549	0
2,280,000	9.35	213,214	0.00	0	391,425	0
2,290,000	9.35	214,090	0.00	0	392,301	0
2,300,000	9.35	214,966	0.00	0	393,177	0
2,310,000	9.34	215,842	0.00	0	394,053	0
2,320,000	9.34	216,718	0.00	0	394,929	0
2,330,000	9.34	217,594	0.00	0	395,805	0
2,340,000	9.34	218,471	0.00	0	396,682	0
2,350,000	9.33	219,347	0.00	0	397,558	0
2,360,000	9.33	220,223	0.00	0	398,434	0
2,370,000	9.33	221,099	0.00	0	399,310	0
2,380,000	9.33	221,975	0.00	0	400,186	0
2,390,000	9.32	222,851	0.00	0	401,062	0
2,400,000	9.32	223,727	0.00	0	401,938	0
2,410,000	9.32	224,604	0.00	0	402,815	0
2,420,000	9.32	225,480	0.00	0	403,691	0
2,430,000	9.32	226,356	0.00	0	404,567	0
2,440,000	9.31	227,232	0.00	0	405,443	0
2,450,000	9.31	228,108	0.00	0	406,319	0
2,460,000	9.31	228,984	0.00	0	407,195	0
2,470,000	9.31	229,861	0.00	0	408,072	0
2,480,000	9.30	230,737	0.00	0	408,948	0
2,490,000	9.30	231,613	0.00	0	409,824	0
2,500,000	9.30	232,489	0.00	0	410,700	0

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL1804498

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: SARAH FORDNEY

vs.

DEFENDANT: ERIK BRINGARD

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER - SPOUSAL SUPPORT

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL2001502

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: SARA ARLYN

and

RESPONDENT: SAMUEL STEIN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Respondent/Father's Request for Order (RFO), filed November 6, 2025 on an *ex parte* basis, seeking an order prohibiting contact between Respondent/Mother's boyfriend, Mr. Street, and the minor child. The court denied the request for emergency orders and set the matter for hearing on December 17, 2025.

The court notes that the matter is also set for hearing on January 7, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. in Department B on Mother's RFO, filed November 4, 2026, for modification of custody and visitation, including a request that the maternal grandmother, Mother's mother, be permitted to care for the children when Mother is not present.

The Family Court Services (FCS) child custody recommending counselor interviewed Father on December 2, 2025 and Mother on December 4, 2025, and issued its report and recommendations on December 8, 2025.

Based on the information before the court, it appears that the best interest of the minor child, Penelope Stein, born April 20, 2018, will be served by the court adopting the recommendations of Family Court Services (FCS), as set forth in its report issued on December 8, 2025, as modified by the court. The court will do so, and orders as follows

1. All current orders shall remain in effect, except as modified hereinbelow:

2. The court notes that Mother's RFO regarding removing the restrictions on the minor child being left alone with the maternal grandmother is set for hearing on January 7, 2025. Pending further court order, the court makes no change to its prior orders restricting the maternal grandmother from being left alone with Penelope.
3. The court denies Father's request to restrict Mr. Street's contact with the minor child.
4. Christmas/New Years 2025: Penelope shall be with Father from December 22nd to December 28th and with Sara from December 29th to January 4th. Penelope shall be permitted to travel with Mother to Hawaii during that period.
5. Halloween: Penelope shall be with Father for Halloween in the odd years and with Mother in the even years.
6. Penelope's Birthday (April 20th): Penelope shall remain on the regular custodial schedule for her birthday.
7. Facetime calls: Penelope shall have the opportunity to contact either parent at any time, as reasonably permitted. Facetime calls initiated by the non-custodial parent shall occur only when Penelope is away from the other parent for 72 hours or more.
8. Counseling: Penelope shall be in counseling and both parents shall follow any recommendations made by the counselor including frequency and duration of counseling.

As authorized by California Rules of Court, Rule 5.125, the court shall prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted

except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

Father filed another Income and Expense Declaration on November 24, 2025, in which Father states that he lost his job on November 3, 2025. On December 10, 2025, the court continued the

matter to December 17, 2025 to allow Father time to provide additional information. On December 11, 2025, Father filed his supplement declaration, discussed below.

Father's Income and Expense Declaration, filed September 19, 2025, states that Father's monthly income is \$9,625, he pays \$420 for health insurance, has \$20 in cash and no other assets. Husband asserts that his expenses are \$8,345 per month, including \$194 per month for emergency dental work for the minor child, and \$1,029 for child and spousal support to Respondent/Mother. Father states that Hazel is in his custodial care from Wednesday after school until Sunday morning, and he brings her to and from school most weekdays. This results in a 58% timeshare for Father.

Father's W2s from 2023 and 2024 reveal gross income of \$124,073 in 2024 (\$10,339 per month), and \$110,646 in 2023 (\$9,221 per month).

Father did not include tax returns or a statement explaining why he did not submit them. Father has not attached copies of the invoices for which he seeks reimbursement as child support additions. Father has not provided a proposed child or spousal support calculation.

Mother did not file a Responsive Declaration, but she appeared in court on November 5, 2025 and was provided with actual notice of the proceedings at that time. On December 2, 2025, Mother filed her Income and Expense Declaration and her financial documents. Mother states that her income is \$4,958 per month, plus commissions or bonuses. Mother's paystubs confirm monthly base income of \$4,960 per month. As of October 15, 2025, Mother's paystubs reveal year-to-date bonus of \$1,000 and receipt of "other" compensation in the amount of \$3,675, with no deductions. Mother lists income from self-employment of \$305 last month from her "after school art class" with no amount listed for an average. This income does not appear on Mother's 2024 tax return, which shows gross wages of \$65,355, and a tax filing status of Head of Household with 2 exemptions. Mother states that the timeshare is 50/50.

On December 10, 2025, the court continued the matter to December 17, 2025 to allow Father time to file and serve the following additional documents to support his requests:

1. Evidence of invoices for add-on costs Father incurred on behalf of the minor child from September 19, 2025, to present and evidence that he previously provided those documents to Mother.
2. Documentation evidencing his termination including his termination letter.
3. Work search information.
4. The two most recent months of pay stubs and the two most recent years of tax returns.

On December 11, 2025, Father filed a termination letter and a statement that he receives unemployment benefits of \$450 per week. He also submitted his last paystub from his prior employment, NinjaTrader, showing severance of \$11,442, as his last paystub, for the period ending November 30, 2025. Father also provided an invoice from Sunnyside Dentistry for uninsured dental costs for Hazel.

Based on the foregoing, the court finds that Father has incurred \$245 in uninsured dental costs since September 19, 2025. Once Father provides Mother with proof of payment of the outstanding dental costs due, Mother is required to reimburse Father for one-half of that expense. Going forward, Mother is required to reimburse Father for one-half of all uninsured health care costs, including dental and vision costs incurred by Father.

Also based on the foregoing, the court finds that Father's income is \$1,950 per month from unemployment, effective December 1, 2025.

The court modifies child support and *pendente lite* spousal support as follows:

For Father, the court uses the following inputs:

1. For the period from September 19, 2025 to November 30, 2025, wages of \$10,339 per month. (See Exhibit A.)
2. 58% timeshare.
3. Father files Head of Household and claims Hazel.

For Mother, the court uses the following inputs:

1. Base income of \$4,960 per month.
2. Additional income (from bonuses and "other") of \$390 per month.
3. Self-employment income of \$25 per month.
4. Mother files as Head of Household and claims a daughter from another relationship, Leona.

Effective Based on the above assumptions outlined in the attached *XSpouse* calculation, commencing September 19, 2025 and continuing until November 30, 2025, Father shall pay Mother monthly guideline child support of \$230 per month, payable one-half on the 1st and one-half on the 15th day of each month, and continuing until further order of the court, or until the child marries, dies, is emancipated, reaches age 19, or reaches age 18 and is not a full-time high school student. The parties shall share proportionately in all reasonable uninsured medical and dental expenses incurred on behalf of the minor child, with Father paying 60% of out-of-pocket

costs and Mother paying 40%, and a copy of the *NOTICE OF RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES—Health-Care Costs and Reimbursement Procedures* shall be attached to the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Based on the above assumptions outlined in the attached XSpouse calculation, commencing September 19, 2025 and continuing until November 30, 2025, Father shall pay Mother monthly guideline *pendente lite* spousal support of \$560 per month, payable half on the first and half on the 15th day of each month, commencing September 19, 2025, and continuing until either party's death, Mother's remarriage, or further order of court, whichever shall first occur.

Commencing December 1, 2025, child support and *pendente lite* spousal support shall be reserved by the court and set to zero.

The parties are referred to the Office of the Family Law Facilitator (Family Law Self-Help) for additional information and assistance.

As authorized by California Rules of Court, Rule 5.125, the court shall prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

2025

Xspouse 2025-2-CA

Monthly Figures

Fixed Shares	Father	Mother	Monthly Figures		Cash Flow	
Number of children	1	0	2025		Guideline	Proposed
Percent time with NCP	0.00%	42.00%			Combined net spendable	12512 12512
Filing status	HH/MLA	HH/MLA	GUIDELINE		Percent change	0% 0%
Number of exemptions	2	2	Nets (adjusted)		Father	
Wages and salary	10339	4960	Father	8028	Payment cost/benefit	-738 -738
Self employed income	0	25	Mother	4484	Net spendable income	7238 7238
Other taxable income	0	390	Total	12512	Change from guideline	0 0
TANF CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	58% 58%
Other nontaxable income	0	0			% of saving over guideline	0% 0%
New spouse income	0	0	Addons	0	Total taxes	2312 2312
Employee 401-k contribution	0	0	Guideln CS	230	Dep. exemption value	0 0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Marin SS	560	# withholding allowances	0 0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	Total	790	Net wage paycheck	7496 7496
CS paid prev marriage	0	0	Proposed		Mother	
Health insurance	0	0	Tactic 9		Payment cost/benefit	768 768
Other medical expenses	0	0			Net spendable income	5274 5274
Property tax expenses	0	0	CS	230	Change from guideline	0 0
Ded interest expense	0	0	SS	560	% of combined spendable	42% 42%
Contribution deduction	0	0	Total	790	% of saving over guideline	0% 0%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	Saving	0	Total taxes	891 891
Qualified business income deduction	0	0	Releases	0	Dep. exemption value	0 0
Required union dues	0	0			# withholding allowances	0 0
Mandatory retirement	0	0			Net wage paycheck	4024 4024
Hardship deduction	0	0				
Other GDL deductions	0	0				
Child care expenses	0	0				

Father pays Guideline CS, Guideline SS, Proposed CS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information

	DOB	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons Payor	Basic CS Payor	Pres CS Payor
All children		58 - 42	0	0	0 Father	230 Father	230 Father
Hazel	2013-09-10	58 - 42	0	0	0 Father	230 Father	230 Father

Superior Court of California

County of Marin

EXHIBIT A

9-19-2025 to 11-30-25

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0002138

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: JAMES JIMENEZ
 OROZCO

and

RESPONDENT: JESSICA MARISOL
 PEREZ

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REVIEW HEARING – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) REVIEW HEARING

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/17/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FS0000244

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: SANDRA ESQUIVIAS

PETITIONER: DAVID MITCHELL
DRESDEN

and

RESPONDENT: HOLLY CARRINGTON
DRESDEN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – COMPEL – DISCOVERY
FACILITATOR PROGRAM; MONETARY SANCTIONS

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.