

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL 1502376

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: JAMES O. DICKEY

and

RESPONDENT: TAMARA A. HOSTETLER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHANGE OF VENUE

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Respondent/Wife's Request for Order (RFO), filed September 25, 2025, seeking an order changing venue from Marin County to Contra Costa County, pursuant to CCP § 397.5.

Appearances are required for address verification in this post-judgment proceeding. MCR Fam 7.8(F).

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL 1600197

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: DANIEL B. KARNER	
and	
RESPONDENT: JESSICA M. KARNER	

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT

RULING

The court signed the parties' stipulation to continue the matter to December 3, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. in Department B.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL1804056

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

and

RESPONDENT: JUSTIN GRAHAM YOUNG

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) PETITION – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING ORDER

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0000351

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: BRYAN JEFFREY
KENNEDY

and

RESPONDENT: HEATHER KAGIN
KENNEDY

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ENTER QDRO WITHOUT
RESPONDENT’S SIGNATURE

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Petitioner/Husband’s Request for Order (RFO), filed October 8, 2025, seeking an order entering a QDRO dividing Husband’s Google 401(k) account.

The parties agree that their March 3, 2022 Judgment divides the Google 401(k) retirement equally as of the effective date of their Judgment. Wife has refused to sign, and Husband asks the court to approve the QDRO without Wife’s signature. Wife responds that she cannot sign the QDRO until she is certain that the amount has not been altered or accessed by Husband since they separated.

The parties’ Judgment divides Husband’s Google 401(k) retirement plan equally as of the date of Judgment. The QDRO is the document necessary to direct the plan administrator how to pay the employee spouse and the alternate payee their share of the benefits, as ordered in the Judgment.

Wife’s reliance on Family Code sections 721 and 1101 does not authorize Wife to withhold her consent to the QDRO as a remedy for suspected breaches. Wife may pursue legal remedies available to her, but she cannot refuse to sign based on her suspicion that Husband engaged in wrongful acts with respect to the retirement account.

The court will GRANT Husband’s RFO and will approve the proposed QDRO without Wife’s signature. Wife’s request for California Family Code section 271 sanctions is DENIED.

As authorized by California Rules of Court, Rule 5.125, the court will prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances through Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0001018

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: MARINA NARBUTOVICH	
and	
RESPONDENT: DZMITRY SKAREDAU	

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW HEARING – SPOUSAL SUPPORT

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Petitioner/Wife's Request for Order (RFO) filed on June 4, 2025.

On October 22, 2025, the court set the matter for further review of support. Respondent/Husband did not file any supplemental pleadings. Wife submitted supplemental pleadings and an XSpouse support calculation. Wife's support calculation calculates *pendente lite* spousal support using the Santa Clara formula, resulting in an inflated calculated amount. Wife ignores the fact that her calculation provides for \$340 in guideline child support payable by Wife to Husband.

Upon its review of the parties' circumstances, the court determines that there is no material change. The July 2, 2025 orders regarding *pendente lite* spousal support shall remain in effect pending further court order. The court notes that the July 16, 2025 Finding and Order After Hearing does not attach a copy of the XSpouse calculation of \$3,150 per month in *pendente lite* spousal support. For convenience, a copy of that calculation is attached to this tentative ruling.

Counsel for Wife is ordered to prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted

except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

2025

Xspouse 2025-1.2-CA

Monthly Figures

Fixed Shares		Monthly Figures		Cash Flow	
		Dzmitry	Marina		
Number of children		1	0		
Percent time with NCP		0.00%	0.00%	Combined net spendable	14133
Filing status		SINGLE	SINGLE	Percent change	0%
Number of exemptions		2	1	Dzmitry	
Wages and salary		16667	1600	Payment cost/benefit	-2560
Self employed income		1500	0	Net spendable income	9969
Other taxable income		0	0	Change from guideline	0
TANF CS received		0	0	% of combined spendable	71%
Other nontaxable income		0	0	% of saving over guideline	0%
New spouse income		0	0	Total taxes	5140
Employee 401-k contribution		1333	0	Dep. exemption value	0
Adjustments to income		125	0	# withholding allowances	0
SS paid prev marriage		0	0	Net wage paycheck	9236
CS paid prev marriage		0	0		
Health insurance		205	0	Marina	
Other medical expenses		0	0	Payment cost/benefit	2731
Property tax expenses		0	0	Net spendable income	4164
Ded interest expense		0	0	Change from guideline	0
Contribution deduction		0	0	% of combined spendable	29%
Misc tax deductions		0	0	% of saving over guideline	0%
Qualified business income deduction		0	0	Total taxes	289
Required union dues		0	0	Dep. exemption value	0
Mandatory retirement		0	0	# withholding allowances	0
Hardship deduction		0	0	Net wage paycheck	1335
Other GDL deductions		0	0		
Child care expenses		0	0		

Dzmitry pays Guideline SS, Proposed SS

Marina pays Guideline CS, Proposed CS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information

DOB

All children
Mark 2014-08-28

Timeshare
100 - 0
100 - 0
ccef(F)
0
0
ccef(M)
0
0
Addons Payor
0Dzmitry
0Dzmitry
Superior Court of California
County of Marin

Basic CS Payor
297 Marina
297 Marina
Pres CS Payor
297 Marina
297 Marina

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0001069

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: ANNE HART

and

RESPONDENT: JONATHAN HART

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT

RULING

This matter comes before the court on Petitioner/Wife's Request for Order (RFO, filed August 19, 2025), seeking *pendente lite* spousal support.

The parties' Stipulation and Order to Continue the October 7, 2025 Case Progress Conference states that the parties have reached a global settlement and are circulating a settlement agreement.

Wife's RFO is continued and shall trail the parties' Case Progress Conference, set for December 8, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. in Department B.

Counsel for Wife is ordered to prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted

except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0001400

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: SANDRA CARMEN
ACEVEDO HANNS

and

RESPONDENT: CONRAD HANNS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 11/12/25 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: B CASE NO: FL0001515

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER: JUAN ZARCO BAUTISTA	
and	
RESPONDENT: CRISTINA ROSALES MARTINEZ	

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CUSTODY/CHILD
SUPPORT/VISITATION
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov.

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.