

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 07/16/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: B      CASE NO: FL0000803

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

---

PETITIONER:    BRIAN MOORE

and

RESPONDENT:   ANGELA MOORE

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY  
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

**RULING**

This matter comes before the court on Petitioner/Father's Request for Order (RFO) filed June 5, 2025, seeking custody and visitation orders, and dates for retrieval of his personal property.

Father's RFO seeks the following orders: (1) co-parenting counseling with either Dr. Hausman or Ms. Santos, (2) parents refrain from telling children they can choose their timeshare, (3) parents must provide information regarding any childcare providers used, (4) parents may not schedule travel for children during other parent's custodial time, (5) out-of-state domestic travel requires 30-days' notice, including itinerary, (6) international travel requires 60 days' notice and the other parent's written consent, (7) no removal of children from school without other parent's consent, (8) dates for retrieval of Father's personal property.

Mother's Responsive Declaration, filed July 9, 2025, states that the parties have already begun to work with Dr. Hausman. Additionally, the parties now agree that Father has already retrieved his personal property.

Based on review of the pleadings, the court determines that it is in the best interests of the minor children, Cecilia, born May 29, 2015, and Elouise, born August 14, 2027, to make the following orders:

1. Neither parent shall disparage the other parent in the presence of the minor children, nor shall they allow any third persons to do so.

2. Each parent shall provide the other parent with all contact information for all childcare providers used by that parent.
3. Additional Halloween timeshare:
  - a. From after school (or 3:00 p.m. if no school) on October 31 until school drop-off the next morning (or 8:00 a.m. if no school).
  - b. With Father in even years and with Mother in odd years.
4. No change to the prior orders regarding domestic and international travel with the minor children.

Counsel for Mother is ordered to prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov).

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 07/16/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: B      CASE NO: FL0001070

PRESIDING: HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

---

PETITIONER:    CHERIE A. GRAHAM

and

RESPONDENT: SAMRAT VASISHT

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: PETITION – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESTRAINING  
ORDER

**RULING**

Appearances required.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov).

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 0716/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: B      CASE NO: FL0001436

PRESIDING: HON. DONNA M. PETRE FOR THE HON. JANET L. FRANKEL

REPORTER:

CLERK: ALEX URTON

PETITIONER:    OLABODE SUNDAY  
AJIBOYE

and

RESPONDENT: VERONICA MARIE  
AJIBOYE

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW HEARING –PROPERTY ISSUES, DEBT  
PAYMENT, AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT

**RULING**

This matter comes before the court on a review hearing stemming from Respondent/Wife's Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order filed March 17, 2025. Wife was issued a one-year peaceful contact Restraining Order After Hearing on April 2, 2025. In Wife's Request she also requested, (a) exclusive use, possession, and control of the family residence at 1575 South Novato Blvd., Unit 2 Novato, CA, 94947, 2020 Mercedes Benz GLC 300 coupes, and household furniture and furnishings, (b) Petitioner/Husband pay a portion of the mortgage (\$1,500/mo) and the HOA (\$300/mo), and (c) payment of *pendente lite* spousal support. The matter was continued from May 28, 2025 to allow both parties time to file financial documents, which they both have done.

Wife now asserts that both parties are currently living in the family home, along with Wife's adult daughter. She requests a court order that her adult daughter may also stay in the home with the parties. Wife states that Husband does not drive.

Wife states that she is on disability, was receiving \$1,160 in worker's compensation benefits through June 2025, receives an average of \$2,225 per month from the Marin Housing Authority, and receives \$292 in EBT funds. Wife states she has an application for social security disability pending. Wife states that her daughter contributes \$800 towards the monthly expenses.

Husband asserts that Wife's daughter is unlawfully in their home, and that Wife's daughter has undisclosed income.

The court accepts Husband's calculated average income of \$8,742, including overtime, and that he pays \$1,170 per month, pre-tax, for health, dental and vision insurance.

Using the above information, the court calculates guideline *pendente lite* spousal support to be \$1,015, and Husband is ordered to pay that amount to Wife, payable one-half on the 1<sup>st</sup> and one-half on the 15<sup>th</sup> of each month, effective July 1, 2025. See attached XSpouse calculation.

Each party is also ordered to pay one-half of the monthly mortgage (principal and interest, totaling \$3,292.76 per month), plus one-half of the HOA dues of \$599 per month, less the \$800 paid by Wife's daughter towards expenses, for a total of \$1,546 per month per party. The court declines to make orders regarding the home warranty at this time, and specifically reserves to trial all claims by either party regarding charges, expenses, and reimbursements.

Wife's request for an order that her adult daughter may stay in the family home is GRANTED, pending trial.

Wife's request for exclusive use of the Mercedes automobile is GRANTED, pending trial.

As authorized by CRC 5.125 the court shall prepare the formal order after hearing.

Litigants who require the assistance of an interpreter may appear in court to access the services of a staff interpreter, or they may appear remotely. Persons who require interpreter services via remote appearance shall notify the clerk of the court in advance to schedule remote interpreter services.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov).

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.



2025

Xspouse 2025-1.1-CA

Monthly Figures

Fixed Shares	Husband	Wife	Monthly Figures		Cash Flow		
Number of children	0	0	2025			Guideline	Proposed
Percent time with NCP	0.00%	0.00%			Combined net spendable	8193	8193
Filing status	MFSIN	MFSIN	GUIDELINE		Percent change	0%	0%
Number of exemptions	1	1	Nets (adjusted)		Husband		
Wages and salary	8741	0	Husband	5676	Payment cost/benefit	-920	-920
Self employed income	0	0	Wife	2517	Net spendable income	4661	4661
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	8193	Change from guideline	0	0
TANF CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	57%	57%
Other nontaxable income	0	2517	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	0	Total taxes	1895	1895
Employee 401-k contribution	0	0	Marin SS	1015	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	1015	# withholding allowances	0	0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0			Net wage paycheck	5366	5366
CS paid prev marriage	0	0	Proposed		Wife		
Health insurance	1170	0	Tactic 9		Payment cost/benefit	1015	1015
Other medical expenses	0	0	CS	0	Net spendable income	3532	3532
Property tax expenses	0	0	SS	1015	Change from guideline	0	0
Ded interest expense	0	0	Total	1015	% of combined spendable	43%	43%
Contribution deduction	0	0	Saving	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	Releases	0	Total taxes	0	0
Qualified business income deduction	0	0			Dep. exemption value	0	0
Required union dues	0	0			# withholding allowances	0	0
Mandatory retirement	0	0			Net wage paycheck	0	0
Hardship deduction	0	0					
Other GDL deductions	0	0					
Child care expenses	0	0					

Husband pays Guideline SS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information

DOB	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons Payor	Basic CS Payor	Pres CS Payor
All children	0 - 0	0	0	0 Husband	0 Husband	0 Husband