

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/12/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: A CASE NO: CV0005564

PRESIDING: HON. STEPHEN P. FRECCERO

REPORTER:

CLERK: CAMILO IBARRA

PLAINTIFF: VLADIMIR
MYASNYANKIN

vs.

DEFENDANT: NATIONWIDE MUTUAL
INSURANCE COMPANY, AN OHIO
CORPORATION, ET AL

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) MOTION – COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES – DISCOVERY FACILITATOR PROGRAM
2) MOTION – COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES – DISCOVERY FACILITATOR PROGRAM
3) MOTION – COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES – DISCOVERY FACILITATOR PROGRAM

RULING

The Court expresses its appreciation for the assistance of Steven Perl, Esq., the Court’s discovery facilitator, in resolving much of this discovery dispute.

1. Defendant Nationwide’s motion to compel further responses to Special Interrogatories Nos. 27-30 is **granted in part**. Plaintiff shall respond to the interrogatories regarding all persons or entities who were engaged in the physical inspection, remediation, demolition, construction, repair and/or renovation of the property during the time period. Plaintiffs have made no showing of burden. However, upon such a showing the Court will consider setting an exemption for *de minimis* work on the property.
2. Defendants Lathrum and Austin’s motion to compel Request for Production No. 3 is **denied**. The request is overly broad and intrusive, and Defendants have failed to demonstrate a compelling need for the information.
3. Defendant Nationwide’s motion to compel Request for Production No. 13 is **granted in part**. Plaintiffs have agreed to produce, and are ordered to produce, forms W-2, 1099, and Schedule C for the time period. There is no basis to require the production of tax returns.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for May, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1605267272?pwd=908CbP6TV2mhCAyai1nzo6lyz2dKaw.1>

Meeting ID: 160 526 7272

Passcode: 026935

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/12/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: A CASE NO: CV0008989

PRESIDING: HON. STEPHEN P. FRECCERO

REPORTER:

CLERK: CAMILO IBARRA

PLAINTIFF: JOHN GIBBONS, ET AL

vs.

DEFENDANT: FORD MOTOR
COMPANY, ET AL

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION – COMPEL ARBITRATION

RULING

In light of the dismissal of Defendant Hansel Ford of Petaluma, the matter is ordered **OFF CALENDAR**.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for May, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1605267272?pwd=908CbP6TV2mhCAyai1nzo6lyz2dKaw.1>

Meeting ID: 160 526 7272

Passcode: 026935

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>