

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL 2203175

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: PIERRE-OLIVIER
LATOIR

and

RESPONDENT: NOOSHIN LATOUR

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW OF REPORTS HEARING – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION – RECEIPT OF FCS REPORT

RULING

This matter is set for receipt of the Family Court Services (“FCS”) Report & Recommendations and hearing on custody/visitation for the 2026-2027 school year. More particularly, the parties had previously agreed that Mirabelle (DOB 3/26/15) and Clementine (DOB 2/19/18) would spend the 2026-2027 school year with him in Switzerland; however, Petitioner/Father moved to Dubai and wishes to modify the parties’ agreement to have the children live with him in Dubai for the 2026-2027 school year. Mother opposes the modification. Both Father and Respondent/Mother were interviewed by FCS, and FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 1/2/26. Father filed an Agreement/Disagreement with the FCS Recommendations on 1/9/26, and Mother filed her Agreement/Disagreement on 1/12/26.

After reviewing, considering and reconsidering the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds it would be remiss in permitting the children to spend the 2026-2027 school year in Dubai with Father, and without Mother’s agreement, unless it is convinced whether through an apostille and consular legalization or having a mirror order regarding custody/visitation issued by a UAE court. The Court is not satisfied that a custody agreement or any order issued by this Court for the return of the children at the end of the school year is sufficient.

The Court’s decision is not based on a concern that Father intends to kidnap the children; however, there are other things that could happen while the children are in Dubai that might require the enforcement of custody/visitation orders. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

1. Unless and until the Court is satisfied that the United Arab Emirates/Dubai, will recognize the parties’ agreements and/or orders regarding custody/visitation, including the children’s stay in Dubai for the 2026-2027 school year, the children will not spend the 2026-2027 school year in Dubai, unless agreed to by Mother.

2. If the Court is satisfied as to the above, the children will be permitted to attend school in Dubai for the 2026-2027 school year, and then:
 - a. The children shall be in Father's custody in Dubai starting two weeks before the 2026-2027 school year to allow for time to get settled and prepare for the upcoming school year.
 - b. The children shall be in Mother's custody for the entirety of the Winter Break and Spring Break of the Dubai school in which the children are enrolled.
 - c. The children shall have unfettered phone/video conferencing contact with Mother when they are in Dubai and may contact her whenever they wish to speak with her. Parents may set up a regular schedule of weekly video calls if they wish to do so.
3. Appearances are required to determine whether the parties are prepared to proceed with an evidentiary hearing as originally set on 2/2/26, or whether the hearing should be rescheduled.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Mother shall prepare the order

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

January 2026 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success>

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL 2301127

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: MARK GOLDSTEIN

and

RESPONDENT: KRISTEN KOH
GOLDSTEIN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – SPOUSAL SUPPORT -
ENFORCEMENT

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Wife's 12/10/25 Request for Order ("RFO") re spousal support enforcement. On 1/9/26 Petitioner/Husband filed a Responsive Declaration, together with the Declaration of Michael Wess, Esq. The Court finds there is nothing to enforce since Husband has paid to Wife the retroactive pendente lite support he was ordered to pay, as well as the attorneys fee advance of \$350,000. The Court agrees with Husband that this RFO should have been taken off calendar.

Based on the above, the Court orders Wife to pay sanctions per Family Code §271 for her failure to meet and confer, thereby unnecessarily requiring both Husband and the Court to address the RFO. The Court finds Wife has the ability to pay, and orders her to pay to Husband the sum of \$5,000 as and for attorneys' fees and costs payable by Friday 2/6/26.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Husband shall prepare the order.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***January 2026 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3O7qPFgFZa.1#success>
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449
Passcode: 073961***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449
Passcode: 073961***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0000148

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: GAVIN PARADISE

and

RESPONDENT: JESSICA PARADISE

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION – FAMILY COURT SERVICES REPORT
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

This matter is set for review of custody/visitation regarding the parties' children, Kiana (DOB 3/27/11) and Kaeli (DOB 6/9/15). The parties were both interviewed by Family Court Services ("FCS") together on 1/6/26, and the FCS Report & Recommendations were filed with the Court on 1/9/26. The parents currently share joint legal and physical custody with a 2-2-5 timeshare. Respondent/Mother wishes to change the time-share; Petitioner/Father does not. Mother believes the girls are having the same issues with regard to Father; Father states his relationship with the girls is better. Yet both parents state that overall the girls are doing well.

Father filed a Statement of Agreement/Disagreement with the FCS Recommendations on 1/13/26; Mother filed her Statement of Agreement/Disagreement with the FCS Recommendations on 1/14/26.

The Court understands that the parents are in co-parenting counseling with Jennifer Santos. It is not clear why the time-share issues do not seem to be addressed in co-parenting, or why the Court has never heard any recommendations from Ms. Santos regarding custody.

Based on review of the current, as well as past submission by the parties, the FCS Reports, and Statements of Agreement/Disagreement filed by both parties, the Court finds it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the FCS recommendations, as modified below.

All current orders shall remain in effect with the following additions/modifications:

1. **Christmas/New Year's:** This break shall be divided evenly every year, such that each parent gets either the first half or the second half (roughly 8 nights with each parent). In the even-numbered years Gavin shall get the first half, and it shall include Christmas Eve and Christmas Day and Jessica shall get the second half, and it shall include New Year's

Eve and New Years Day. In the odd-numbered years the schedule shall be reversed. If both parents are in town on Christmas Day, then the non-custodial parent shall have the girls on the 25th from 3:00 p.m. until the 26th at 10:00 a.m.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Father to prepare the order

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

*January 2026 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success>
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449
Passcode: 073961*

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449*

Passcode: 073961

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0000757

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: ELIZABETH LAMONT

and

RESPONDENT: DANIEL MENDOZA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES; 2) CHILD/SPOUSAL SUPPORT; 3) REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING; SET SPOUSAL SUPPORT TO ZERO; MOTION TO SEAL (ATTORNEY DECLARATION)

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on:

- (1) Petitioner/Wife’s 8/15/25 Request for Order (“RFO”) re child support for the parties’ two children: Logan (DOB 4/15/08) and Quinn (DOB 3/22/11), spousal support and attorneys’ fees and costs;
- (2) Respondent/Husband’s 10/28/25 RFO for an Evidentiary Hearing and to set spousal support for Petitioner/Wife to zero for 2025, and for an order that Richard Schiller, CPA analyze the parties’ respective incomes for 2025 and complete a Marital Standard of Living Analysis.

The Court notes that the parties have filed pleadings and other documents with a combined total of over 700 pages, many of which are financial documents. This is not a matter that can be addressed on the Court’s regular law and motion calendar.

Appearances required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***January 2026 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success>
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449
Passcode: 073961***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 516 2449
Passcode: 073961***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0001156

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: RICHARD F.
MUNZINGER

and

RESPONDENT: KEABNESH MUNZINGER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) ORDER – SHOW CAUSE – SANCTIONS FOR UNCIVIL BEHAVIOR BY COUNSEL 2) TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE 3) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

This matter is set for (1) case progress conference, (2) trial readiness conference; and (3) Order to Show Cause Why Sanctions Should not be Imposed for Uncivil Behavior by Counsel.

Appearances required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

January 2026 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success>

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 1/23/26 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: E CASE NO: FL0001678

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: TRACY ALEXANDRA
MEJIA VILLAFAN

and

RESPONDENT: NORMAND JEFFRY
SUAREZ REYES

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION 2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on custody/visitation of the parties' two children: Eliana (DOB 12/4/21) and Zoe (10/30/23). The parties were referred to Family Court Services ("FCS") following the Court's issuance of a three-year Domestic Violence Restraining Order ("DVRO") on 10/21/25 with Respondent/Mother as the restrained party and Petitioner/Father and the children as protected persons. Father was granted sole legal and physical custody of the children, with Mother to have professionally supervised visits at Rally twice a week for two hours per visit, and she can visit Eliana at her nursery school.

Only Father appeared for the FCS interview; he was interviewed on 11/24/25. Mother did not appear for her scheduled appointment and did not otherwise contact FCS. FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 1/9/26.

Father states Mother has repeatedly violated the restraining order by contacting him, one such violation was presented to the District Attorney. Father is concerned about Mother's alcohol and drug use and wants to maintain professionally supervised visits for her with the children.

The Court presided over the DVRO trial in this matter, where both parties testified. Having considered the parties' testimony and reviewed and considered the FCS Report & Recommendations, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the FCS Recommendations, as modified below. Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

All prior orders not in conflict with the below shall remain in full force and effect with the following modifications.

1. Father shall have sole legal and physical custody of the children.
-

2. Mother shall have professionally supervised visits at Rally once a week for a period of two hours per visit.
3. Mother shall not visit Eliana at Ross Valley Nursery School.
4. Mother shall not physically remove the children from their caretakers outside of her court ordered supervised visits at Rally.
5. Mother shall not consume alcohol or be under the influence of drugs 12 hours prior to or during visits with the children.
6. Mother shall take a domestic violence class designed for female perpetrators of domestic violence of no less than 12 weeks duration. Mother shall provide verification of completion of this class to the court.
7. Unsupervised visits shall not be considered until Mother has addressed her domestic violence issues.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the order pursuant to Rule 5.125, CA Rules of Court.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that if a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department E. The parties may access Department E for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

January 2026 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

[https://marin-courts-ca-](https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success)

[gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success](https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615162449?pwd=e5SqeATq2HOsxxD7Fhrl3Q7qPFgFZa.1#success)

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 516 2449

Passcode: 073961

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.