

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO: CIV2003621

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL RAUCH

vs.

DEFENDANTS: LARRY FRANCIS
KLOSOWSKI, ET AL.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) MOTION – ATTORNEY’S FEES 2) MOTION – AWARD OF PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST 3) MOTION – STRIKE 4) MOTION – ATTORNEY’S FEES

RULING

This matter is continued to July 1, 2026.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615487764?pwd=Ob4B5J7LLKcpnkxzJjEOSHnzEGafG.1>

Meeting ID: 161 548 7764

Passcode: 502070

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court’s website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO: CIV2200618

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFFS: LILY FILMS, INC., ET AL.

vs.

DEFENDANTS: DATASAFE, INC., ET AL.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION – LEAVE TO AUGMENT EXPERT WITNESS DESIGNATION

RULING

Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to augment their witness designation. A notice of the motion was served on Defendant and no opposition was filed. A failure to oppose a motion may be deemed a consent to the granting of the motion. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.54, subd. (c).) Failure to oppose a motion may also lead to the presumption that [plaintiff] has no meritorious arguments. (See *Laguna Auto Body v. Farmers Ins. Exchange* (1991) 231 Cal. App. 3d 481, 489, disapproved of by *Garcia v. McCutchen* (1997) 16 Cal.4th 469, on other grounds.)

Plaintiff's motion is, therefore, granted.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615487764?pwd=Ob4B5J7LLKcpnkxzJjiEOSHnzEGafG.1>

Meeting ID: 161 548 7764

Passcode: 502070

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO: CIV2300652

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PETITIONER: YES IN MY BACKYARD

vs.

DEFENDANT: CITY OF SAUSALITO

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION - ATTORNEY'S FEES

RULING

On March 30, 2026, Petitioner filed an appeal of the judgment. The court shall, therefore, stay Defendant's motion for attorney's fees until the appeal is resolved.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615487764?pwd=Ob4B5J7LLKcpnkxzJjjEOSHnzEGafG.1>

Meeting ID: 161 548 7764

Passcode: 502070

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 06/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO: CV0003716

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFF: KEEP AMERICA SAFE
AND BEAUTIFUL

vs.

DEFENDANT: ROMA COSTUME, INC.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) MOTION – SETTLEMENT 2) CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE 3) ORDER - SHOW CAUSE – FAILURE TO APPEAR

t

RULING

Plaintiff's motion for approval of the Proposition 65 settlement and consent judgment is
GRANTED.

The court finds that the settlement was the result of arm's length negotiations by the parties, and that it is in the interest of the public. The settlement requires Defendant Roma to provide Proposition 65 warnings for its DEHP containing vinyl/PVC apparel and vinyl/PVC gloves, and the notice language set forth in the consent judgment complies with the statutory requirements of Proposition 65. The civil penalty of \$2,000 is reasonable. The court has also reviewed the attorney's fees request for \$26,000 and finds it to be reasonable pursuant to the Private Attorney General Act.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615487764?pwd=Ob4B5J7LLKcpnkxzJjEOSHnzEGafG.1>

Meeting ID: 161 548 7764

Passcode: 502070

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO. CV0006916

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFF: UPHOLD HQ INC.

vs.

DEFENDANTS: WILIAM GLEASON, ET AL

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION – PRO HAC VICE

RULING

The motion for pro hac vice application of Jack Ryan is granted. The court will sign the proposed order.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1605267272?pwd=908CbP6TV2mhCAyai1nzo6lyz2dKaw.1>

Meeting ID: 160 526 7272

Passcode: 026935

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO. CV0006921

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFF: UPHOLD HQ INC.

vs.

DEFENDANTS: QUINTON TYRELL
MCCAA, ET AL.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: MOTION – PRO HAC VICE

RULING

The motion for pro hac vice application of Jack Ryan is granted. The court will sign the proposed order.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1605267272?pwd=908CbP6TV2mhCAyaiInzo6lyz2dKaw.1>

Meeting ID: 160 526 7272

Passcode: 026935

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 6/24/26 TIME: 1:30 P.M. DEPT: H CASE NO: CV0009153

PRESIDING: HON. SHEILA S. LICHTBLAU

REPORTER:

CLERK: A. ANDRES

PLAINTIFF: PAUL DENNES

vs.

DEFENDANTS: MPJC AUTOMOTIVE,
INC., ET AL.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) DEMURRER
2) MOTION – STRIKE

RULING

Off calendar. Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint.

All parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.10(B) to contest the tentative decision. Parties who request oral argument are required to appear in person or remotely by ZOOM. Regardless of whether a party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 2.10(B), the prevailing party shall prepare an order consistent with the announced ruling as required by Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2.11.

The Zoom appearance information for June, 2026 is as follows:

<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615487764?pwd=Ob4B5J7LLKcpnkxzJjEOSHnzEGafG.1>

Meeting ID: 161 548 7764

Passcode: 502070

If you are unable to join by video, you may join by telephone by calling (669) 254-5252 and using the above-provided passcode. Zoom appearance information may also be found on the Court's website: <https://www.marin.courts.ca.gov>